MEMORANDUM

TO: Deans, Directors, and Chairpersons

FROM: Marilyn J. Amey, Assistant Provost of Faculty and Academic Staff Development

SUBJECT: Teacher–Scholar Awards 2023-2024

Each year, nominations are solicited for the Teacher–Scholar Awards. Up to six Teacher–Scholar Awards are awarded, each with a stipend of $2,000. The Teacher–Scholar Award recipients are recognized at the annual Awards Ceremony. The ceremony is Wednesday, May 1, 2024, 3:30-4:30 p.m., Kellogg Center.

Please see below (or page 3) "Maximum Number of College Nominations." Maximums are based on the number of eligible faculty assigned to colleges as of May 2023. Colleges are encouraged to forward the maximum number of nominations. You may nominate MSU faculty members who are tenure system faculty from the ranks of assistant professor and associate professor, individuals holding NSCL faculty appointments; individuals holding faculty health programs (HP) appointments, and Law College faculty, and who meet the eligibility criteria for the Teacher–Scholar Award (TSA). Previous recipients of this award are not eligible.

An advisory (or awards) committee should be involved in the college selection process, and students should participate. Women and minorities should be included on nomination and selection committees. Efforts to submit a diverse set of nominees should be encouraged and supported.

Nominators may contact me at honorifics@msu.edu for the committee’s feedback if the nominee is not selected for the award. A nominee not selected for the Teacher–Scholar Award may be resubmitted in the subsequent award cycle if they still qualify. The candidate must be resubmitted through the college and will be included in the college’s maximum number of nominations. When resubmitting the nominee’s dossier, it is important to have requested and addressed any feedback.

Also, you may review model nomination materials based on the supporting documents submitted on behalf of past recipients of the Teacher–Scholar Award by contacting Katie Rundblad at honorifics@msu.edu.

See the attached for details about the selection criteria and materials required to forward nominations to the All-University Awards Committee. Confirm the college deadline prior to gathering and preparing support materials. Colleges should forward nominee dossiers to the Provost Office, using the Teacher-Scholar Award Nomination Form no later than Friday, November 10, 2023. NOMINEE DOSSIERS SUBMITTED WITHOUT ALL OF THE REQUIRED MATERIALS WILL BE RETURNED.

Colleges are encouraged to establish nomination and review procedures now and issue a call for nominations immediately to allow time to meet the All-University Awards Committee deadline. This memorandum and attachments are at the All-University-Awards website.

Please do not hesitate to contact me or Katie Rundblad at honorifics@msu.edu with questions.
Teacher–Scholar Awards from “Faculty Handbook”

Teacher–Scholar Awards are made to six members of the tenure system faculty from the ranks of assistant professor and associate professor who early in their careers have earned the respect of students and colleagues for their devotion to and skill in teaching. The essential purpose of the award is to provide recognition to the best teachers who have served at MSU, in the tenure system, for seven years or less. Nominations are normally made by department chairpersons/school directors after consultation with an appropriate committee of colleagues. No department/school may make more than two nominations. “At large” nominations are also invited from an appropriate student organization. All nominations are reviewed by a college screening committee, which may forward the number of nominations to the Office of the Provost as shown in the table below.

To be considered for an MSU Teacher–Scholar Award, a tenure system faculty member must hold the rank of assistant professor or associate professor and, at the beginning of the award period, must have served on the faculty for at least two semesters but no more than seven academic years in the tenure system at Michigan State University. In addition, nominees must not have more than ten years of employment experience of all kinds since receipt of the terminal degree applicable to their discipline. Years spent in “postdoc” appointments will not be counted in determining eligibility. Nominees for a Teacher–Scholar Award must be willing to permit a member of the awards committee to visit their classrooms. Committee visitation is a part of the total evaluation procedure only for those nominees who, after preliminary screening, seem most promising. (MSU College of Law, FRIB/NSCL faculty and Health Programs [HP] faculty are also eligible for nomination).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Eligible Tenure System Assistant/Associate Professors in College</th>
<th>Maximum Number of Teacher–Scholar Nominations forwarded by the College</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-45</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 45</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF COLLEGE NOMINATIONS
TEACHER–SCHOLAR AWARDS 2023-2024

Up to Four Nominations: (Units with more than 45 tenure system faculty from the ranks of assistant professor and associate professor (with at least one year but not more than seven years of service within the tenure system))

   College of Agriculture and Natural Resources
   College of Arts and Letters
   Eli Broad College of Business
   College of Communication Arts and Sciences
   College of Education
   College of Engineering
   College of Human Medicine
   College of Law
   College of Natural Science
   College of Social Science

Up to Three Nominations: (Units with 45 tenure system faculty from the ranks of assistant professor and associate professor (with at least one year but not more than seven years of service within the tenure system))

   None

Up to Two Nominations: (Units with fewer than 45 tenure system faculty from the ranks of assistant professor and associate professor (with at least one year but not more than seven years of service within the tenure system))

   Residential College in the Arts and Humanities
   James Madison College
   Lyman Briggs College
   College of Music
   College of Nursing
   College of Osteopathic Medicine
   College of Veterinary Medicine
   Facility for Rare Isotope Beams/National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory

This schedule is reviewed annually to make adjustments.
TEACHER–SCHOLAR AWARDS 2023-2024

Annually, up to six Teacher–Scholar Awards are conferred on MSU tenure system faculty from the ranks of assistant professor and associate professor who early in their careers have earned the respect of students and colleagues for their devotion to and skill in teaching. MSU College of Law, NSCL faculty and Health Programs (HP) faculty are eligible. The purpose of this award is to recognize the best teachers who have served at MSU, in the tenure system, for seven years or less. Award recipients receive a stipend of $2,000.1

At the time of nomination, the candidate must hold the rank of assistant professor or associate professor in the MSU tenure system and must have served on the MSU faculty for at least two semesters but not more than seven academic years, within the tenure system.

A faculty member, student, or faculty/student organization may submit nominations to the screening committee in the college in which the candidate discharges his or her instructional responsibilities. Confirm the college deadline date before gathering and preparing the candidate’s support materials. The nomination form is to be completed by a “nominator,” who may be either a faculty member or student or faculty/student organization. The college screening committee, designated by the dean in consultation with the college advisory committee, should consist of five members: three faculty (at least one associate professor or assistant professor) and two students, undergraduate or graduate. Each college screening committee may submit up to its maximum allowable number of nominations to the All-University Awards Committee, Provost Office, at THIS LINK by Friday, November 10. NOMINEE DOSSIERS SUBMITTED WITHOUT ALL OF THE REQUIRED MATERIALS WILL BE RETURNED.

CRITERIA

All-University Awards nominations, including those for the Teacher-Scholar Award, will be reviewed for misconduct and violations of University policy to ensure alignment with the University’s Mission and Values prior to being advanced for committee review.

In addition to the specific requirements and qualifications for each All University Award, it is expected that all recipients will have demonstrated behavior over their career that reflects the core values of Michigan State University. Specifically, as stated in the Faculty Rights and Responsibilities policy:

As the primary functions of an academic community, learning, teaching, scholarship, and public service must be characterized by a fundamental commitment to academic freedom and maintained through reasoned discourse, intellectual honesty, mutual respect and openness to constructive criticism and change. Faculty members, as central to this community, serve as scholars pursuing the search for knowledge and its free expression, as teachers instructing students, and as professionals and citizens contributing special knowledge and skills through public service and community participation. In the performance of all these functions faculty members are held accountable to the University, in accordance with established policies and procedures.

When addressing the CRITERIA below, nominators and referees please keep in mind that MSU faculty members—as faculty at a leading research-intensive University—are responsible for the creation and dissemination of knowledge. The most effective teachers will have their instruction linked to and informed by their research and creative activities.
A. **Instructional effectiveness; impact on the student.** In providing evidence of the candidate's excellence and success in instruction, consider the following: SIRS forms, peer evaluation, evaluations by affected groups; teaching portfolios, including course syllabi, examinations; websites, etc.; publications and presentations related to pedagogy; guest lectures and visiting adjunct appointments; grants received in support of instruction; and instructional awards or other forms of professional or alumni recognition of teaching.

B. **Uses of innovative techniques and/or approaches in teaching.** It is IMPORTANT to explain how these techniques or approaches are innovative and/or remain innovative in the department or your discipline.

C. **Scholarship.** The nature of scholarship and creative activity varies across disciplines and areas within a discipline. Excellence is demonstrated in many ways: publications, presentations, poster sessions, websites, etc.; performances and exhibits; scores, showings, recordings, and curatorial activities; citations of one’s work by others; evaluations by peers and affected groups including comments by outside evaluators, journal editors, referees, etc.; grants received in support of research; and research awards or other forms of professional/alumni recognition.

D. **Public Service/Outreach.**
Section 1. DATA FORM (PLEASE COMPLETE VIA QUALTRICS SURVEY)

Section 2. NOMINATOR STATEMENT (LETTER).
See criteria on page above, “TEACHER–SCHOLAR AWARDS 2022-2024” (or page 4) and page below “INSTRUCTIONS TO THE NOMINATOR” (or page 9). 2-3 pages. Not to exceed 3 pages.

Section 3. NOMINEE’S PROFILE/SKETCH.
To familiarize committee members with the nominee’s academic background, areas of interest, and accomplishments. You may use those posted on the department website. 1-2 pages. Not to exceed 2 pages.

Section 4. LETTERS OF SUPPORT.
At least two and not more than two FACULTY letters; and at least three and not more than three STUDENT letters. Letters should be provided by students at all levels—undergraduate and graduate. When the nominee’s primary teaching responsibility has been teaching undergraduates, include letters from undergraduates. Encourage students to explain how the design and challenges of courses stood out. When addressing the criteria (below), nominators and referees should keep in mind that faculty members at MSU—a leading research-intensive University—are responsible for the creation and dissemination of knowledge. The most effective teachers will have their instruction linked to and informed by their research and creative activities. Letters are not to be repetitive. Selection committee members suggest that more than the required number of letters of support are solicited, so that the nominator may select those in combination which make the most complete and compelling case in addressing the criteria.

a. Instructional effectiveness; impact on students.

b. Uses of innovative techniques and/or approaches in teaching (include examples). It is IMPORTANT to explain how techniques and/or approaches are innovative in the department and/or in the discipline, and consider how they REMAIN INNOVATIVE.

c. Scholarship. The nature of scholarship and creative activity varies across and within disciplines. Excellence is demonstrated in many various ways: publications, presentations, poster sessions, websites, etc.; performances and exhibits; scores, showings, recordings, and curatorial activities; citations of one’s work by others; evaluations by peers and affected groups including comments by outside evaluators, journal editors, referees, etc.; grants received in support of research; and research awards or other forms of professional/alumni recognition.

d. Public Service/Outreach.

Section 5. CURRENT CURRICULUM VITAE (CV).
The CV is to be SELECTIVE AND NO MORE THAN 15 PAGES, including evidence of research and creative activities.

a. Separate or identify (or indicate by asterisk (“*”)) peer-reviewed or refereed items (including reports, meetings, and other evidence of merit).

b. For multiple author listings, indicate how the primary/lead author is identified or that all authors have made an approximately equal contribution.

c. Fellowships, grants and contracts:
   o include stipend or dollar amounts;
   o indicate nominee’s degree of responsibility (PI, Co-PI, etc.) in the acquisition.

d. Awards given by professional associations, societies, or other relevant organizations (provide NAME of the association, etc.).

e. Memberships on national and international committees, associations, and boards.

f. Editorships of professional journals.
Section 6. TEACHING PHILOSOPHY STATEMENT.
One page only. Candidate provides this.

a. Candidate’s teaching philosophy.
b. Describe its implementation.
c. How do you determine its effectiveness?
d. If not effective, how do you make modifications? Describe modifications.

Section 7. TEACHING SCHEDULE AND ADVISING RESPONSIBILITIES.
At least 2-4 semesters including summers when relevant. Please provide separate sheet.

Section 8. TEACHING TABLE.
Allows you to PROVIDE DETAILED INFORMATION ON COURSES, AND STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS IN SUMMARIZED FORM. Complete TEACHING TABLE for the last eight classes taught. If nominee has not taught eight classes, then complete for the classes nominee has taught.

Student Instructional Rating System (SIRS) Composite Profile Factors. The five composite profile factors are found on Scoring Office summary reports; the composite profile factors are included on the Teaching Table (SIRS).

Student Perceptions of Learning Survey (SPLS). The six composite profile factors are found in the Teaching Table (SPLS) and should match reports to instructors, department chairs, and deans.

When Unit-Specific Student Evaluation Instruments are used rather than SIRS or SOCT, fill in the table as completely as possible for classes taught. You may modify the table according to the categories your unit uses in its teaching evaluations.

If the table cannot be modified to provide evaluation in summary form, you are still required to provide teaching effectiveness in summary form. Do this on a separate 1-2 pages (not to exceed two pages) to complete the requirement for SECTION 8 (list classes on the table and provide details indicated and note that teaching evaluation summary is attached).

An example of a unit-specific student evaluation instrument would be Summary Statistics of Student Responses (College of Human Medicine).

Evaluations from training or educational workshops may be provided in summarized form in this section. Teaching in nontraditional settings should be explained in this section. Is teaching in the nontraditional setting evaluated? Is there a means to summarize student/participant evaluation of course? If so, please provide in this section.

There MUST be evidence of teaching effectiveness IN SUMMARIZED FORM.

Section 9. DRAFT CITATION.
To be included in the Awards Ceremony booklet. Please enclose a citation of about 325 words to appear in the awards brochure if the nominee is selected for the award. Please list nominee’s name as they wish it to appear in the awards brochure. List joint appointments, with the primary appointment listed first. (See “Tips for Writing Draft Citations” below (or on page 11) and Citation Samples (or on pages 12-13).)

Section 10. TEACHING DOCUMENT
Please provide ONE document. For example, course syllabus, course outline, student assignment, student quiz or examination. No books, journals, offprints, or journal articles, and the like.
INSTRUCTIONS TO NOMINATOR
Teacher–Scholar Award 2022-23

CRITERIA. When addressing criteria, please keep in mind that faculty members at MSU, as a leading research-intensive University, are responsible for the creation and dissemination of knowledge. The most effective teachers will have their instruction linked to and informed by their research and creative activities. As is specified by the award, evidence of excellence in teaching is required.

INSTRUCTION. In providing evidence of the candidate’s excellence and success in instruction, consider the following: SIRS forms or other student evaluation instruments, peer evaluation, evaluations by affected groups; teaching portfolios, including course syllabi, examinations; websites, etc.; publications and presentations related to pedagogy; guest lectures and visiting adjunct appointments; grants received in support of instruction; and instructional awards or other forms of professional alumni recognition.

The Quality of Instruction
1. What evidence do you have that the candidate’s courses are highly regarded?
2. Briefly discuss the candidate’s skill in various teaching situations (e.g., large lecture, recitation, seminar, other).
3. What innovative techniques has the candidate used in their courses? It is important to explain how these are innovative in the department or discipline. Provide examples. Have these techniques remained innovative? Has the candidate modified techniques so that they remain innovative? Explain.

Contributions to the Instructional Program. Be as specific as possible.
1. How has the candidate contributed to the work of departmental committees responsible for curriculum revisions?
2. How has the candidate developed innovative and effective instructional approaches in course(s) in which they have been involved?
3. How has the candidate improved course(s) they have taught? For example, by course outlines or assignment sheets? Please explain.
4. How effective is the candidate in supervising teaching assistants?
5. How has the candidate excelled in academic advising?

SCHOLARSHIP. The nature of scholarship and creative activity varies across disciplines. Excellence can be demonstrated in many ways: publications, presentations, poster sessions, websites, etc.; performances and exhibits; scores, showings, recordings, and curatorial activities; citations of one’s work by others; evaluations by peers and affected groups including comments by outside evaluators, journal editors, referees, etc.; grants received in support of research; and research awards or other forms of professional/alumni recognition.
1. Please describe the candidate’s primary scholarly and creative interests and accomplishments, with special reference to current work.
2. List articles or monographs, either published or accepted for publication, or papers presented to professional groups.
3. Include comments of peers and other evaluations on the quality of scholarship.

PUBLIC SERVICE/OUTREACH
1. Comment on the candidate’s quality of contribution to outreach activities and/or public service. These should involve the application of the faculty member’s professional training and competence to issues and problems significant to external constituencies and which are related to the academic program objectives of the faculty member’s department.
2. Include comments of peers and other evaluations on the quality of the candidate’s contributions.

SUMMARY
Evaluate the overall quality of the candidate as a teacher–scholar in comparison with relevant peers.
IMPORTANT:
Please print name as it should appear on the award certificate and in the awards brochure.

If you need to view an example citation, please contact Katie Rundblad at honorifics@msu.edu.

The citation will be printed in the program booklet. The citation should be an accurate statement of the person’s achievements, but it should also be:

**Distinctive.** The citations should reflect an honoree’s distinction; a relevant tidbit about the person (as opposed to the person’s achievements) is helpful—e.g., “A scientist who is also broadly versed in music, literature, and the fine arts, Dr. X.”

**Creative.** Search for unusual and descriptive adjectives, varying cadences and turns of phrase.

**Easily understood by a lay audience.**
A quotation from a student (or a colleague) from the material submitted adds human interest to the citation. Such quotations should be specific rather than general. Rather than, for example, “She was the best teacher I’ve ever had,” use “One of the most important things Dr. X communicates is his conviction that thinking and teaching can be the heart of an honorable way of life.” Don’t overlook humorous quotations.

Although following this outline slavishly is not necessary (especially if you are feeling particularly creative), the citations usually take the form below. Keep in mind that each item can only be several sentences long at most.


2. Specific ways in which their excellence in teaching has been evidenced. Undergraduate, graduate, or both. Extension. Advancing diversity. Textbooks and curriculum development. Student advising and mentorships. Where do students go after MSU? Support of funding for equipment or scholarships.

3. Specific evidence of scholarship and research. Avoid citing numbers of things unless they are really phenomenal. Instead, cite capstone examples. Use layperson’s terms where possible, and cite the usefulness or results of the person’s work in their largest context. Grants, professional societies, and awards are appropriate here, but only the most significant.

4. Other—Professional affiliations, consulting, outreach and engagement/service. MSU committee service. Again, use only the most significant examples.

5. Summary sentence of the person’s worthiness for the award.
CHECKLIST
Teacher–Scholar Award 2023-2024

ONE COPY. Must be readable when scanned. NOMINEE DOSSIERS SUBMITTED WITHOUT ALL OF THE MATERIALS REQUIRED WILL BE RETURNED. THERE ARE NO EXCEPTIONS. Arrange materials in the order below. Awards committees have suggested that a Table of Contents be submitted as well.

1. Completed **DATA FORM** (to be completed via Qualtrics Survey).
   a. Candidate has **not had more than ten years of experience of all kinds since receipt of the terminal degree applicable to their discipline**. “Postdoc” appointments are not counted in determining eligibility.
   b. Candidate is in the MSU tenure system at the rank of assistant professor or associate professor. MSU College of Law and Health Programs (HP) faculty are also eligible.
   c. Candidate served on the MSU faculty for at least two semesters but not more than seven academic years. Candidate’s primary involvement is teaching.

2. **NOMINATOR STATEMENT (LETTER)**. Letter should be both informative and illustrative of why nominee is most deserving. Because the selection committee is comprised of faculty, graduate and undergraduate students from across campus, care should be taken to contextualize why the nominee is outstanding in the discipline.

3. **NOMINEE’S PROFILE/SKETCH** to familiarize committee with nominee’s academic background, areas of interest and accomplishments. You may use bios on the department’s website. 1-2 pages. Not to exceed 2 pages.

4. **LETTERS OF SUPPORT**:
   a. At least TWO and not more than two FACULTY support letters.
   b. At least THREE and not more than three STUDENT support letters.

5. **Current CURRICULUM VITAE**. The CV is to be SELECTIVE AND NO MORE THAN 15 PAGES, including evidence of research and creative activities, including—as applicable—detailed evidence of research and creative activities. However, omit long lists of minor activities.
   a. Separate (and identify) or indicate by asterisk (“**”) peer-reviewed or refereed items from other items (including reports, meetings, and other evidence of merit).
   b. List authors the way they appear. For multiple authors, indicate how the primary/lead author is identified and/or that all authors have made an approximately equal contribution.
   c. Fellowships, grants and contracts:
      • include stipend or dollar amounts;
      • indicate nominee’s degree of responsibility in the acquisition.
   d. Awards by professional associations, societies, or other relevant organizations (provide NAME of association, etc.).
   e. Memberships on national and international committees, associations, and boards.
   f. Editorships of professional journals.

6. **TEACHING PHILOSOPHY STATEMENT**. One page only. **Candidate provides this**.
   a. Candidate’s teaching philosophy.
   b. Describe its implementation.
   c. How do you determine its effectiveness?
   d. If not effective, how do you make modifications? Describe modifications.

7. **TEACHING SCHEDULE** and **ADVISING RESPONSIBILITIES** for 2-4 semesters including summer when applicable. Include separate page for this.

8. **TEACHING TABLE**: Provide detailed information on courses and results from SIRS Composite Profile Factors (TABLE above (or page 10)) or other student evaluation instruments used by the department. You **MUST provide a summary of student evaluations**. If your unit’s student evaluation instrument does not fit into any categories comparable to the Composite Profile Factors on the TABLE, you are still required to provide evidence that student evaluations of the nominee’s teaching effectiveness is exemplary. Place in this section and limit to 1-2 pages.

9. **CITATION**. Draft citation of 325 words for awards brochure. List candidate’s name as they wish it to appear in the awards brochure and list joint appointments, with the primary appointment listed first.

10. **ONE DOCUMENT USED BY CANDIDATE IN TEACHING**. For example, course syllabus, course outline, student assignment, student quiz or examination. No books, journals, offprints, or journal articles.

---

1 The Tax Reform Act (1986) eliminated the general exclusion of awards made in recognition of educational or scientific achievement. All MSU recognition awards are taxable income and subject to withholdings and FICA.